

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 11:32 AM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: This motion is just another way to stand on the necks of those who are not rich or powerful.....Please stop this motion.....I can't breathe.

Communication from Public

Name: Helen Tocco

Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 10:32 AM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the fee hike for historic preservation. If adopted as proposed, the fee hike will limit public participation, harm the preservation program, and undermine the City's efforts to effectively engage BIPOC communities. As a Palms Neighborhood Council Board Member, I have seen how difficult it already is to protect our local historic resources (we lost the Tabor House to demolition and that site has yet to be developed). We need to make it easier, not harder, to protect historic buildings.

Communication from Public

Name: Khymn O'Malley

Date Submitted: 04/05/2021 01:01 PM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I would like to formally register my opposition to the proposed PLUM fee increase. Since moving to Jefferson Park, I have become a member of both the HPOZ Board and the Friends of Jefferson Park group. I have counseled long-time and new residents on historically appropriate repairs and modifications to their homes in order to comply with our Preservation Plan. Many of these repairs require expertise and materials that are more costly BECAUSE we have guidelines. To ask homeowners to shoulder the cost of Planning staff on top of the materials, permits, and labor for this work is unconscionable. Our neighborhood is economically and racially diverse. 90% of the students at 6th Ave Elementary, our neighborhood school, come from "low income" households. Many families live multi-generationally in historic homes and may not have the resources to both maintain their properties AND pay the city staff who were ostensibly hired with expected salary from the general fund. I ask that you, as our elected city council representatives, vote NO on this unfair fee increase.

Communication from Public

Name: Jeff Ross

Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 08:05 AM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: Do not change the fee from \$89.00 to \$16,000.00 What are you thinking? That's criminal !!! ??

Communication from Public

Name: Lois DeArmond
Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 08:36 AM
Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members; I am writing to urge you in the strongest possible terms, to OPPOSE the Planning Dept. fee hikes proposed by the CAO. Any fee increases are inappropriate, but this one, proposed to be as much as 40%, would have the effect of cutting the citizens of Los Angeles out of the process of planning their neighborhoods and environment. It would be severely damaging to preservation. It would be severely damaging to the City's already fragile attempts to engage Communities of Color in the process of shaping their neighborhoods. This fee increase is UN-democratic. Do not support it. Thank you for your attention to this urgent matter. Lois DeArmond Lifetime resident of Los Angeles

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 08:04 PM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to oppose the approval / passing of proposal to increase the filing fee from \$89 to \$16,000 to appeal a development decision. I strongly feel that our representatives in City Council are not looking out for the welfare of the constituents, but rather making decisions that harm and put us at a disadvantage, and specifically promotes a personal gain for themselves. I am so disappointed.

Communication from Public

Name: Paul King

Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 01:36 PM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: Expressing my opposition to proposed fee increases for historic preservation applications and appeals because it will result in increased non-compliance by property owners leading to structures not being restored or preserved and it will be a financial hardship to many property owners who don't have the means to pay exorbitant fees which will also lead to reduced efforts by owners to maintain and preserve historical structures. Paul King
Kinney Heights

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/06/2021 01:48 PM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: This seems a direct effort to give advantage to large corporate landowners versus individual developers and in particular to owners of color and low income. This seems like a very bad piece of legislation which further calcifies the very things we as a city are trying to overcome- systemic racism.